PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 101258-1 WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/SE2005/000013	International filing date (day/month/year) 05 January 2005 (05.01.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 09 January 2004 (09.01.2004)	
International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form F	h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant ASTRAZENECA AB			

1.	This international preliminary national Searching Authori	eport on patentability (Ch ty under Rule 44 <i>bis</i> .1(a)	napter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	l of 6 sheets, including th	nis cover sheet.
*	In the attached sheets, any refer to the international preliminary	ence to the written opinion report on patentability (C	on of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference Chapter I) instead.
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following	items:
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
	Box No. II	Priority	
	Box No. III	Non-establishment o applicability	f opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
i	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of inve	ention
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement applicability; citation	under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial and explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents c	ited
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the	e international application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations	on the international application
4.	The International Bureau will on not, except where the applicant date (Rule 44bis .2).	communicate this report t makes an express reques	to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but st under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority
			Date of issuance of this report
	•		10 July 2006 (10.07.2006)
	The International Bu 34, chemin des Co 1211 Geneva 20, S	olombettes	Authorized officer Philippe Becamel
L	simile No. +41 22 338 82 70		e-mail: pt12@wipo.int
Form	PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)		

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Sweden

REC'D 04 MAY 2005

To:
ASTRAZENECA
Global Intellectual Property
151 85 Södertälje

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

	·	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	0 2 -05- 2005
Applicant's or agent's file reference 101258-1 WO		FOR FURTHER A	CTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No. PCT/SE 2005/000013 International filing date 05.01.2005		(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 09.01.2004
International Patent Classification (IPC) C07D 211/70, A61K 31	or both national classific /445, A61P 25	cation and IPC 5/04, A61P	25/22
Applicant AstraZeneca AB et al			

As	tra	Zeneca A	AB et al
1 '	This i	oninion contain	s indications relating to the following items:
1.		Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
	\Box	Box No. II	Priority
٠	冈	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	同	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
	\boxtimes	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
		Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
		Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
		Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
	If a content Authority If the IPE of F	mational Prelim nority other than ten opinions of is opinion is, as A a written reply form PCT/ISA/2 further opinions	mational preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the mational preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an a this one to be IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. The provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the y together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing 220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. The provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the y together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing 220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. The provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the y together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing 220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. The provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the y together, where appropriate, with a mendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing 220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.
NE	mea	nd mailing add	ress of the ISA/SE Authorized officer
	tent x 50		treringsverket Solveig Gustavsson/ELY

Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88

International application No.

PCT/SE 2005/000013

Box No. I	Basis of this opinion
which it wa	ed to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in as filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
Thi	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language,
	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3
and	i 23.1(b)).
•	the least in the international application and necessary to the
With regar claimed in	rd to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the evention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of	material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format o	of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form .
	In comparer reasons 20
a time of	f filing/furnishing
c, time of	contained in the international application as filed.
<u> </u>	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4 Addition	al comments:
,	
	-
	·

International application No.

PCT/SE 2005/000013

Box No. II	I Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The questi- industrially	on whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be applicable have not been examined in respect of:
	the entire international application
	claims Nos. 9-10
because	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 9-10 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify): PCT Rule 67.1. (iv).: Methods for treatment of the human
	animal body by surgery or therapy, as well as diagnostic cods.
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
·	
	The claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported
	by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:
	the written form has not been furnished
	does not comply with the standard
	the computer readable form has not been furnished
	does not comply with the standard
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in the Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See Supplemental Box for further details.

International application No.

PCT/SE 2005/000013

ed statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial

. Statement		•	
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-8,11-14	YES
	Claims		NO NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-8,11-14	NO.
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-8,11-14	YES
	Claims		NO NO

2. Citations and explanations:

- D1) WO 02094812 A1
- D2) WO 9828275 A1

The claims disclose diarylmethylidene piperidine derivatives that are used for treatment of pain, anxiety or functional gastrointestinal disorders.

Documents D1-D2 disclose structurally closely related compounds with the same activity as the claimed compounds.

Document D1 which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses structurally closely related compounds. The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from D1 (see the claims) in that the aminogroup in that the aminogroup is in para-position instead of in meta-position on the phenyl-group.

The subject-matter of claims 1-9 and 14 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

The problem to be solved by the present invention in the light of document D1 is the provision of alternative diphenylmethylidene piperidine derivatives useful for treatment of pain, anxiety or functional gastrointestinal disorders.

Document D2 show structurally related compounds, that are substituted in meta-position on the phenyl-group with an

.../..

International application No.

PCT/SE 2005/000013

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Box V

aminogroup and no substituent on the piperidine-nitrogen (see page 115). However, this document also show compounds without the aminogroup that are substituted on the piperidine-nitrogen with groups such as arylmethyl.

The applicant has not shown that the structural differences render the claimed compounds' unexpected effects in comparison with the known compounds'.

It is considered obvious to a person skilled in the art to modify structurally similar compounds to obtain the claimed compounds and come to the conclusion that they will have the same activity.

The processes for preparation, of claims 11-13, are generally known processes and these claims therefore lack inventive step, as do the intermediates of claims 14 that are obtained in these processes.

Consequently, claims 1-8 and 11-14 are considered to fulfil the requirements of novelty, but not that of inventive step.